arXiv:1512.01191v2 [math.CO] 5 Dec 2015

A NOTE ON THE BORWEIN CONJECTURE

JIYOU LI

Abstract. The Borwein conjecture asserts that for any positive integer n and k, the coefficient a_{3k} of q^{3k} in the expansion of $\prod_{j=0}^{n}(1-q^{3j+1})(1-q^{3j+2})$ is nonnegative. In this note we prove that for any $k \leq n$,

$$a_{3k} + a_{3(n+1)+3k} + \cdots + a_{3n(n+1)+3k} > 0.$$

1. Introduction

Conjecture 1.1 (P. Borwein, 1990)**.** *For the coefficients a_j defined by*

$$\prod_{j=0}^{n}(1-q^{3j+1})(1-q^{3j+2}) = \sum_{j\geq 0} a_j q^j,$$

if $j \equiv 0 (\mathrm{mod}\ 3)$, then $a_j \geq 0$, and else $a_j \leq 0$.

This conjecture is actually the first one among three conjectures posed by Borwein. Both the second and the third one ask similar questions for $\prod_{j=0}^{n}((1-q^{3j+1})(1-q^{3j+2}))^2$ and $\prod_{j=0}^{n}(1-q^{5j+1})(1-q^{5j+2})(1-q^{5j+3})(1-q^{5j+4})$ respectively yet all of them are still open. For more details about the story we refer to [1]. Some related work can be found in [3, 4, 7, 9, 10, 11].

If we define three polynomials $A_n(x), B_n(x)$, and $C_n(x)$ by

$$\prod_{j=1}^{n}(1-q^{3j+1})(1-q^{3j+2}) = A_n(q^3) - qB_n(q^3) - q^2C_n(q^3),$$

then the conjecture asserts that all the three polynomials have non-negative coefficients. One can also write $A_n(q) = \sum_i a_{3i}q^i$ as a sum of q-binomial coefficients with alternating signs (For a proof, see [1]):

$$A_n(q) = \sum_{k=-\lfloor n/3 \rfloor}^{\lfloor n/3 \rfloor} (-1)^k q^{k(9k-1)/2} \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ n+3k \end{bmatrix}_q.$$

Unfortunately, it seems not obvious why this combination of q-coefficients is always non-negative.

On the other hand, it is clear the number a_j is the difference of the number of even subsets in $D = \{1, 2, 4, 5, \cdots, 3n+1, 3n+2\}$ minus the number of odd subsets such that the sum of each subset is j. Here we may assume $3 \mid j < 3(n+1)^2$ and thus the conjecture is equivalent to a typical "parity"

problem in integers, which is to determine if

$$a_j = \#\{S \subseteq D, |S| \equiv 0 (\text{mod } 2), \sum_{x\in S} x = j\}$$
$$- \#\{S \subseteq D, |S| \equiv 1 (\text{mod } 2), \sum_{x\in S} x = j\} \geq 0.$$

It is a challenging task to give a reasonable estimate for a_j, though it was proved to be true when $n = \infty$.

Theorem 1.2 (Garvan, Borwein and Andrews [1])**.** *For any prime p, let*

$$\frac{\prod_{j=1}^{\infty}(1-q^j)}{\prod_{j=1}^{\infty}(1-q^{pj})} = \sum_{j\geq 0} a_{p,j}q^j.$$

Then for any $j \geq 0$,

$$a_{p,j}a_{p,j+p} \geq 0.$$

For $p \mid j$, Stanley gives a half-page proof of this result. His proof also expresses $a_{p,j}$ as a sum of two restricted partition functions of j/p.

Theorem 1.3 (Stanley [8])**.** *Suppose $a_{p,j}$ are defined as above. Then for any $p \mid j \geq 0$,*

$$a_{p,j}a_{p,j+p} \geq 0.$$

Moreover,

$$a_{p,pj} = P_{\not\equiv 0, \frac{3p-1}{2}, \frac{3p+1}{2} (\text{ mod } 3p)}(j) + P_{\not\equiv 0, \frac{(3-2t)p-1}{2}, \frac{(3+2t)p+1}{2} (\text{mod } 3p)}(j - \frac{t(pt+1)}{6}),$$

where P is a restricted partition function and t is the smallest positive integer such that $3 \mid pt + 1$.

For interested readers we include the proof of Theorem 1.3 in Section 4. By the above counting formula, $a_{3,3j}$, which is a_j in the conjecture for $n = \infty$, is much smaller than $\#\{S \subseteq D, |S| \equiv 0 (\text{ mod } 2), \sum_{x\in S} x = j\}$ when j is large. This observation indicates the hardness of the sign decision of a_j in some sense.

For finite n, all above methods do not apply because of the lack of modularity. Instead we give some interesting explicit counting formulae for the subset sum problem over a special subset of $\mathbb{Z}_N$ and thus obtain a partial result on Borwein's Conjecture.

Theorem 1.4. *Let $N = 3n + 3$, $D = \{1, 2, 4, 5, \ldots, 3n + 1, 3n + 2\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_N$. Let $M(k, b, D)$ be the number of k-subsets whose sum is b, i.e.,*

$$M(k, b, D) = \#\{S \subseteq D, |S| = k, \sum_{x\in S} x = b\}.$$

When $k = 0$, we define $M(0, b, D) = 1$ if and only if $b = 0$. Let

$$M(b) = \sum_{2|k} M(k, b, D) - \sum_{2\nmid k} M(k, b, D).$$

If $b \in 3\mathbb{Z}_N$, then

$$M(b) = \frac{2 \cdot 3^{N/3}}{N} + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{d \neq 1,3, d|N} \Phi_d(b) \sum_{k, d|k} \binom{2N/3d + k/d - 1}{k/d},$$

where $\Phi_d(b) = \sum_{i|(d,b)} \mu(d/i) i$ is the Ramanujan's trigonometrical sum. In particular, $M(b) > 0$.

The proof is based on a subset counting problem by Li-Wan's sieving technique and is given in Section 3.

Remark. The proof can be generalized to any prime $p > 3$. For $b \notin 3\mathbb{Z}_N$, we can derive similar formulae.

Based on Theorem 1.4, we obtain an explicit counting formula for the partial sums of $a_j's$ lying in the same class modular an integer. This leads to the positivity of incomplete sums of some a_{3j}'s and hence provides evidence to the truth of the conjecture.

Theorem 1.5. *Let $N = 3(n+1)$ and let*

$$\prod_{j=0}^{n} (1 - q^{3j+1})(1 - q^{3j+2}) = \sum_{j \geq 0} a_j q^j.$$

For $0 \leq j \leq N$ and $j \equiv 0 (\mathrm{mod}\ 3)$, we have

$$a_j + a_{N+j} + \cdots + a_{nN+j} > 0.$$

Proof. This is a direct consequence of the Theorem 1.4, since $M(j) = a_j + a_{N+j} + \cdots + a_{nN+j}$. □

2. A distinct coordinate sieving formula

For the purpose of our proof, we briefly introduce a sieving formula discovered by Li-Wan [5], which significantly improves the classical inclusion-exclusion sieving. We cite it here without any proof. For details and related applications, we refer to [5, 6].

Let S_k be the symmetric group on k elements. It is well known that every permutation $\tau \in S_k$ factorizes uniquely as a product of disjoint cycles and each fixed point is viewed as a trivial cycle of length 1. For $\tau \in S_k$, define $\mathrm{sign}(\tau) = (-1)^{k - l(\tau)}$, where $l(\tau)$ is the number of cycles of τ including the trivial cycles.

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose X is a finite set of vectors of length k over an alphabet set D. Define $\overline{X} = \{(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_k) \in X \mid x_i \neq x_j, \forall i \neq j\}$. Let $f(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k)$ be a complex valued function defined over X and $F = \sum_{x \in \overline{X}} f(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k)$.. Then*

$$F = \sum_{\tau \in S_k} \mathrm{sign}(\tau) F_\tau, \tag{2.1}$$

where $F_\tau = \sum_{x\in X_\tau} f(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k)$, *and*

$$X_\tau = \left\{(x_1, \ldots, x_k) \in X, x_{i_1} = \cdots = x_{i_{a_1}}, \ldots, x_{l_1} = \cdots = x_{l_{a_s}}\right\} \tag{2.2}$$

for a permutation $\tau = (i_1 i_2 \cdots i_{a_1})(j_1 j_2 \cdots j_{a_2}) \cdots (l_1 l_2 \cdots l_{a_s})$ *with* $1 \le a_i, 1 \le i \le s$.

Note that the symmetric group S_k acts on D^k naturally by permuting coordinates. That is, for $\tau \in S_k$ and $x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k) \in D^k$, $\tau \circ x = (x_{\tau(1)}, x_{\tau(2)}, \ldots, x_{\tau(k)})$. A subset X in D^k is said to be symmetric if for any $x \in X$ and any $\tau \in S_k$, $\tau \circ x \in X$. In particular, if X is symmetric and f is a symmetric function under the action of S_k, we then have the following formula which is simpler than (2.1).

Corollary 2.2. *Let* C_k *be the set of conjugacy classes of* S_k. *If* X *is symmetric and* f *is symmetric, then*

$$F = \sum_{\tau\in C_k} \mathrm{sign}(\tau) C(\tau) F_\tau, \tag{2.3}$$

where $C(\tau)$ *is the number of permutations conjugate to* τ.

For the purpose of evaluating the above summation, we need several combinatorial formulas. Recall that a permutation $\tau \in S_k$ is said to be of type $(c_1, c_2, \cdots, c_k)$ if τ has exactly c_i cycles of length i and that $\sum_{i=1}^k i c_i = k$. Let $N(c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_k)$ be the number of permutations in S_k of type $(c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_k)$ and it is well-known that (See for example [12])

$$N(c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_k) = \frac{k!}{1^{c_1} c_1! 2^{c_2} c_2! \cdots k^{c_k} c_k!}.$$

Lemma 2.3. [5] *Define the generating function*

$$C_k(t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_k) = \sum_{\sum i c_i = k} N(c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_k) t_1^{c_1} t_2^{c_2} \cdots t_k^{c_k}.$$

If $t_1 = t_2 = \cdots = t_k = q$, *then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} C_k(q, q, \ldots, q) &= \sum_{\sum i c_i = k} N(c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_k) q^{c_1} q^{c_2} \cdots q^{c_k} \\ &= (q + k - 1)_k. \end{aligned}$$

In another case, if $t_i = q$ *for* $d \mid i$ *and* $t_i = s$ *for* $d \nmid i$, *then we have*

$$C_k(\overbrace{s, \cdots, s}^{d-1}, q, \overbrace{s, \cdots, s}^{d-1}, q, \cdots) \le (s + k + (q - s)/d - 1)_k.$$

3. Proof of Theorem 1.4

Let ψ denote an additive character from $\mathbb{Z}_N$ to the group of all nonzero complex numbers $\mathbb{C}^*$. Let ψ_0 be the principal character sending each element in $\mathbb{Z}_N$ to 1. Denote by $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_N$ the group of additive characters of $\mathbb{Z}_N$, which is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_N$.

Set $X = D^k$ and $\overline{X}$ be the vectors in X with distinct coordinates. Employing the Li-Wan's sieving technique one gets

$$\begin{aligned} k!N(k,b,D) &= N^{-1} \sum_{(x_1,x_2,\dots x_k)\in\overline{X}} \sum_{\chi\in\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_N} \chi(x_1+x_2+\cdots+x_k-b) \\ &= N^{-1}(2N/3)_k + N^{-1} \sum_{\chi\neq\chi_0} \sum_{(x_1,x_2,\cdots x_k)\in\overline{X}} \chi(x_1)\chi(x_2)\cdots\chi(x_k)\chi^{-1}(b) \\ &= N^{-1}(2N/3)_k + N^{-1} \sum_{\chi\neq\chi_0} \chi^{-1}(b) \sum_{(x_1,x_2,\dots x_k)\in\overline{X}} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \chi(x_i). \end{aligned}$$

Denote $f_\chi(x) = f_\chi(x_1,x_2,\dots,x_k) = \prod_{i=1}^k \chi(x_i)$. For $\tau \in S_k$, let

$$F_\tau(\chi) = \sum_{x\in X_\tau} f_\chi(x) = \sum_{x\in X_\tau} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \chi(x_i),$$

where X_τ is defined as in (2.2). Obviously X is symmetric and $f_\chi(x_1,x_2,\dots,x_k)$ is normal on X. Applying (2.3) in Corollary 2.2, we get

$$k!N(k,b,D) = N^{-1}(2N/3)_k + N^{-1} \sum_{\chi\neq\chi_0} \chi^{-1}(b) \sum_{\tau\in C_k} \mathrm{sign}(\tau) C(\tau) F_\tau(\chi),$$

where C_k is the set of conjugacy classes of S_k, $C(\tau)$ is the number of permutations conjugate to τ. If τ is of type $(c_1,c_2,\dots,c_k)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} F_\tau(\chi) &= \sum_{x\in X_\tau} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \chi(x_i) \\ &= \sum_{x\in X_\tau} \prod_{i=1}^{c_1} \chi(x_i) \prod_{i=1}^{c_2} \chi^2(x_{c_1+2i}) \cdots \prod_{i=1}^{c_k} \chi^k(x_{c_1+c_2+\cdots+ki}) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{k} (\sum_{a\in D} \chi^i(a))^{c_i} \\ &= n^{\sum c_i m_i(\chi)} s_\chi(D)^{\sum c_i(1-m_i(\chi))}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$s_\chi(D) = \sum_{a\in D} \chi(a),$$

and $m_i(\chi) = 1$ if $\chi^i = 1$ and otherwise $m_i(\chi) = 0$.

It then suffices to estimate $s_\chi(D)$ for all χ. Since $\mathbb{Z}_n - D$ is a subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_N$ of index 3 and $s_\chi(\mathbb{Z}_N) = 0$, if $\mathrm{order}(\chi) = 1$, then $s_\chi(D) = 0 - (n+1) = 2n+2$. If $\mathrm{order}(\chi) = 3$, then χ is trivial on $\mathbb{Z}_n - D$ and thus $s_\chi(D) = 0 - (n+1) = -n-1$. In a word, unless $\mathrm{order}(\chi) \mid 3$, $s_\chi(D) = 0$.

Thus for $3 \mid b$,

$$\begin{aligned}
k!N(k,b,D) &= N^{-1}(2N/3)_k + N^{-1}\chi_3^{-1}(b)\sum_{\tau\in C_k}\mathrm{sign}(\tau)C(\tau)F_\tau(\chi_3)+\\
&\quad N^{-1}\chi_3^{-2}(b)\sum_{\tau\in C_k}\mathrm{sign}(\tau)C(\tau)F_\tau(\chi_3^2) + N^{-1}\sum_{\mathrm{order}(\chi)\nmid 3}\chi^{-1}(b)\sum_{\tau\in C_k}\mathrm{sign}(\tau)C(\tau)F_\tau(\chi),\\
&= N^{-1}(2N/3)_k + 2N^{-1}\chi_3^{-1}(b)\sum_{\tau\in C_k}\mathrm{sign}(\tau)C(\tau)(2N/3)^{\sum c_i m_i(\chi)}s_\chi(D)^{\sum c_i(1-m_i(\chi))}\\
&\quad + N^{-1}\sum_{\mathrm{order}(\chi)\nmid 3}\chi^{-1}(b)\sum_{\tau\in C_k}\mathrm{sign}(\tau)C(\tau)(2N/3)^{\sum c_i m_i(\chi)}0^{\sum c_i(1-m_i(\chi))}\\
&= N^{-1}(2N/3)_k + 2N^{-1}\chi_3^{-1}(b)\sum_{\tau\in C_k}\mathrm{sign}(\tau)C(\tau)(2N/3)^{\sum c_i m_i(\chi)}s_\chi(D)^{\sum c_i(1-m_i(\chi))}\\
&\quad + N^{-1}\sum_{\mathrm{order}(\chi)\nmid 3}\chi^{-1}(b)\sum_{\tau\in C_k}\mathrm{sign}(\tau)C(\tau)(2N/3)^{\sum c_i}\\
&= N^{-1}(2N/3)_k + 2N^{-1}\chi_3^{-1}(b)\sum_{\tau\in C_k}\mathrm{sign}(\tau)C(\tau)(2N/3)^{\sum c_i m_i(\chi)}s_\chi(D)^{\sum c_i(1-m_i(\chi))}\\
&\quad + (-1)^k N^{-1}\sum_{\mathrm{order}(\chi)\nmid 3}\chi^{-1}(b)\sum_{\tau=(c_1,\cdots,c_k)\in C_k,\chi^i\neq Id\Rightarrow c_i=0}C(\tau)(-2N/3)^{\sum c_i}\\
&= N^{-1}(2N/3)_k + 2N^{-1}\chi_3^{-1}(b)\sum_{\tau\in C_k}\mathrm{sign}(\tau)C(\tau)(2N/3)^{\sum c_i m_i(\chi)}s_\chi(D)^{\sum c_i(1-m_i(\chi))}\\
&\quad + (-1)^k N^{-1}\sum_{3\neq d\mid N}\sum_{\chi,\mathrm{order}(\chi)=d}\chi^{-1}(b)\sum_{\tau=(c_1,\cdots,c_k)\in C_k,d\nmid i\Rightarrow c_i=0}C(\tau)(-2N/3)^{\sum c_i}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $b\in 3Z_N$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&2N^{-1}\chi_3^{-1}(b)\sum_{\tau\in C_k}\mathrm{sign}(\tau)C(\tau)(2N/3)^{\sum c_i m_i(\chi)}s_\chi(D)^{\sum c_i(1-m_i(\chi))}\\
&= (-1)^k N^{-1}\sum_{\tau\in C_k}(-1)^{c_1+c_2+\cdots+c_k}C(\tau)(2N/3)^{\sum_{3\mid i}c_i}(-N/3)^{\sum_{3\nmid i}c_i}\\
&= (-1)^k N^{-1}\sum_{\tau\in C_k}C(\tau)(-2N/3)^{\sum_{3\mid i}c_i}(N/3)^{\sum_{3\nmid i}c_i}\\
&= (-1)^k N^{-1}k!\sum_{i=0}^{k/3}\binom{-N/3+i-1}{i}\binom{N/3+k-3i-1}{N/3-1}\\
&= (-1)^k 2N^{-1}k!\binom{N/3,3}{k},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sum_{\tau=(c_1,\cdots,c_k)\in C_k,d\nmid i\Rightarrow c_i=0}C(\tau)(-2N/3)^{\sum c_i}$$

$$= [\frac{t^k}{k!}]\frac{1}{(1-t^d)^{2N/3d}} = \binom{2N/3d+k/d-1}{k/d}.$$

Thus

$$N(k,b,D) = \frac{1}{N}\binom{2N/3}{k} + \frac{2(-1)^k}{N}\binom{N/3,3}{k} + \frac{(-1)^k}{N}\sum_{1,3\neq d|(N,k)}\sum_{\chi,\mathrm{order}(\chi)=d}\chi^{-1}(b)\binom{2N/3d+k/d-1}{k/d}.$$

To finish the proof, one computes

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{2|k}N(k,b,D) &= \frac{2^{2N/3-1}}{N} + \sum_{2|k}\frac{2}{N}\binom{N/3,3}{k} + \frac{1}{N}\sum_{1,3\neq d|(N,k)}\Phi_d(b)\sum_{2|k}\binom{2N/3d+k/d-1}{k/d}\\ &= \frac{1}{N}(2^{2N/3-1} + 3^{N/3} + \sum_{1,3\neq d|(N,k)}\Phi_d(b)\sum_{2|k}\binom{2N/3d+k/d-1}{k/d}),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Phi_d(b) = \sum_{\chi,\mathrm{order}(\chi)=d}\chi^{-1}(b) = \sum_{k,(k,d)=1}e^{2\pi ikb/d}$$

is the Ramanujan's trigonometrical sum and equals $\Phi_d(b) = \sum_{i|(d,b)}\mu(d/i)i$.

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{2\nmid k}N(k,b,D) &= \frac{2^{2N/3-1}}{N} - \sum_{2|k}\frac{2}{N}\binom{N/3,3}{k} - \frac{1}{N}\sum_{1,3\neq d|N}\Phi_d(b)\sum_{2\nmid k,d|k}\binom{2N/3d+k/d-1}{k/d}\\ &= \frac{1}{N}(2^{2N/3-1} - 3^{N/3} - \sum_{1,3\neq d|(N,k)}\Phi_d(b)\sum_{2\nmid k}\binom{2N/3d+k/d-1}{k/d}),\end{aligned}$$

where $\Phi_d(b)$ is defines as above.

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}N_N(k,b) &= \sum_{2|k}N(k,b,D) - \sum_{2\nmid k}N(k,b,D)\\ &= \frac{1}{N}(2\cdot 3^{N/3} + \sum_{1,3\neq d|N}\Phi_d(b)\sum_{k,d|k}\binom{2N/3d+k/d-1}{k/d}).\end{aligned}$$

Without of generality assume N is odd and $d \geq 5$, then

$$\begin{aligned}N_N(k,b) &\geq \frac{1}{N}(2\cdot 3^{N/3} - N\binom{2N/3d+N/d-1}{N/d})\\ &\geq \frac{1}{N}(2\cdot 3^{N/3} - N2^{N/3}) > 0.\end{aligned}$$

4. Proof of Theorem 1.3

By Euler's Pentagon Theorem,

$$[x^{pk}]\frac{\prod_{n\geq 1}(1-x^n)}{\prod_{n\geq 1}(1-x^{pn})} = [x^{pk}]\frac{\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}(-1)^n x^{n(3n-1)/2}}{\prod_{n\geq 1}(1-x^{pn})},$$

and we have

$$\sum_{k\geq 0} a_{pk}x^k = \frac{\sum_{n=pj}(-1)^j x^{j(3pj-1)/2} + \sum_{n=pj+\frac{pt+1}{3}}(-1)^j x^{(3j+t)(pj+\frac{pt+1}{3})/2}}{\prod_{n\geq 1}(1-x^n)}$$
$$= \frac{z_1+z_2}{\prod_{n\geq 1}(1-x^n)}.$$

Here t is the smallest positive integer such that $3 \mid pt+1$. By Andrew's formula ([2], p. 22, Corollary 2.9)(put $k=\frac{3p-1}{2}, i=\frac{3p+1}{2}$ and $k=\frac{3p-1}{2}, i=\frac{(3-2t)p-1}{2}$ respectively),

$$z_1 = \sum_{k=pj}(-1)^j x^{j(3pj-1)/2} = \prod_{n\geq 0}(1-x^{3pn+3p})(1-x^{3pn+\frac{3p-1}{2}})(1-x^{3pn+\frac{3p+1}{2}}),$$

$$z_2 = \sum_{n=pj+\frac{pt+1}{3}} (-1)^j x^{(3j+t)(pj+\frac{pt+1}{3})/2}$$
$$= x\prod_{n\geq 0} x^{\frac{t(pt+1)}{6}}(1-x^{3pn+3p})(1-x^{3pn+\frac{(3-2t)p-1}{2}})(1-x^{3pn+\frac{(3+2t)p+1}{2}}).$$

Then we have

$$a_{pk} = P_{\not\equiv 0,\frac{3p-1}{2},\frac{3p+1}{2}(\bmod 3p)}(k) + P_{\not\equiv 0,\frac{(3-2t)p-1}{2},\frac{(3+2t)p+1}{2}(\bmod 3p)}(k-\frac{t(pt+1)}{6}) \geq 0.$$

Note that t is the smallest positive integer such that $3 \mid pt+1$, i.e., $t=1$ or $t=2$.

Acknowledgements. The author wishes to thank Professor Richard Stanley and Dr. Mikhail Tyaglov for their helpful discussions.

References

[1] G. Andrews, *On a conjecture of Peter Borwein*, Symbolic computation in combinatorics 1 (Ithaca, NY, 1993). J. Symbolic Comput. 20 (1995), 487–501.

[2] G. Andrews, *The theory of partitions*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, Vol. 2. Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass.-London-Amsterdam, 1976.

[3] G. Andrews, R.J. Baxter, D.M. Bressoud, W.H. Burge, P.J. Forrester and G. Viennot, *Partitions with prescribed hook differences*, European J. Combin. 8 (1987), 341–350.

[4] D.M. Bressoud, *The Borwein conjecture and partitions with prescribed hook differences*, The Foata Festschrift. Electron. J. Combin. 3 (1996), no. 2, Research Paper 4, approx. 14 pp

[5] J. Li and D. Wan, *A new sieve for distinct coordinate counting*, Science in China Series A, 53 (2010), 2351–2362.

[6] J. Li and D. Wan, *Counting subsets of finite Abelian groups*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, 19 (2012),170–182.

[7] P.M. Rajković and M.D. Petković, *Generalized Borwein conjecture and partitions of natural numbers*, Funct. Anal. Approx. Comput. 1 (2009), 47–56.

[8] R.P. Stanley, Private communication.

[9] S.O. Warnaar, *The generalized Borwein conjecture. I. The Burge transform*, q-series with applications to combinatorics, number theory, and physics, 243–267, Contemp. Math., 291, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2001.
[10] S.O. Warnaar, *The generalized Borwein conjecture. II. Refined q-trinomial coefficients*, Discrete Math. 272 (2003), 215–258.
[11] A. Zaharescu, *Borwein's conjecture on average over arithmetic progressions*, Ramanujan J. 11 (2006), 95–102.
[12] R.P. Stanley, *Enumerative combinatorics. Vol. 1*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.

Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, P.R. China
E-mail address: lijiyou@sjtu.edu.cn

Department of Mathematics, MIT, MA, USA
E-mail address: jiyouli@mit.edu